

2X200

1723

18w

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED APPLICATION

OF: KASTENHUBER ET AL.

CONFIRMATION NO.: 1346

SERIAL No. 10/069,366 ✓

GROUP ART UNIT: 1723

FILED: FEBRUARY 25, 2002

EXAMINER: DAVID L. SORKIN

FOR: APPARATUS FOR TRANSPORTING POLYMER DISPERSIONS

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Alexandria, Va 22313-1450, on:

February 14, 2005

Date of Deposit

Mary Chadwick

Person Making Deposit

Mary Chadwick

Signature

February 14, 2005

Date of Signature

Honorable Commissioner
for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Sir:

In reply to the Office action of November 12, 2004, it is respectfully requested that the following amendment and remarks be entered and considered for further prosecution of the above-identified application:

A M E N D M E N T

IN THE CLAIMS:

Cancel Claim 4, amend Claims 1 and 5 to 12, and enter new Claims 14 to 22 as indicated in the Listing of Claims set forth in Appendix I attached to this paper.


03/15/2005 KWANZER 00000001 10069366

01 FC:1201 400.00 DA
050214

a claimed invention does not support a conclusion of obviousness⁸). The subject matter of the newly added claims is therefore also deemed to be patentable under Section 103(a) over either one of the teachings of *Weis*, *Jost* and *Di Placido* when taken in view of the disclosure of *Wissman*. Favorable action is solicited.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including Extension of Time fees, to Deposit Account No. 14.1437. ✓ Please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA & QUIGG



Daniel S. Kim
Reg. No. 51,877

1350 Connecticut Ave, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 659-0100

Encl.: THE LISTING OF CLAIMS (Appendix I)
DSK/BAS

8) Cf. *In re Gordon*, 733 F.2d 900, 221 USPQ 1125 (CAFC 1984); see also, eg., *Interconnect. Planning Corp. v. Feil*, 774 F.2d 1132, 227 USPQ 543 (CAFC 1985).